

# A GENERIC WORST-CASE BOUND ON THE CONDITION NUMBER OF A HOMOTOPY PATH

GREGORIO MALAJOVICH

ABSTRACT. The number of steps of homotopy algorithms for solving systems of polynomials is usually bounded by the condition number of the homotopy path. A generic bound on the condition number of homotopy path between systems with integer coefficients will be given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [6], it was proven that there is a Zariski closed set  $\Sigma'$  in the space of all systems of homogeneous polynomial equations of degree  $\mathbf{d} = (\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_n)$  in  $n + 1$  variables, with the following property : For any  $f$  not in  $\Sigma'$ ,  $f$  with integer (resp. Gaussian integer) coefficients, the Shub and Smale condition number  $\mu(f)$  of  $f$  satisfies :

$$\mu(f) \leq \mu(\Sigma') \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{f})^{d(\Sigma')}$$

The numbers  $\mu(\Sigma')$  and  $d(\Sigma')$  depend only on  $n$  and  $\mathbf{d}$ , and :

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{f}) = \max (\operatorname{Re}|f_{iJ}| + \operatorname{Im}|f_{iJ}|)$$

where  $f_{iJ}$  ranges over all the coefficients of  $f$ . For more details, see [6] and [8].

In this paper, a similar theorem is proven for the condition number of a linear homotopy path  $\{f^{(t)}\} = \{(1-t)f^{(0)} + tf^{(1)}\}$ . Here,  $t$  is a real parameter in  $[0, 1]$ . The same homotopy path will be represented by the pair  $(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})$ .

This bound will provide a *generic* worst case bound for the number of steps of a homotopy algorithm. See [4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}}$  be the complex vector space of all systems of  $n$  homogeneous polynomial equations of degree  $\mathbf{d}$  in  $n+1$  variables. The notation  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}})$

---

*Date:* November 29, 1995.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65H10, 65H20, 68Q25.

*Key words and phrases.* homotopy, conditioning, gap theorem.

Sponsored by CNPq (Brasil).

Typeset using  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ .

will denote the projectivization of the complex vector space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}}$ . One may consider a path as a subset of  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}})$ . Its Zariski-closure is always a complex line (provided  $f^{(0)} \neq f^{(1)}$ ). Generically speaking, it meets the discriminant variety  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}})$ . This is still true if one fixes one of the systems  $f^{(0)}$  and  $f^{(1)}$ .

We may also represent the path  $\{f^{(t)}\}$  by an element  $(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})$  of the space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}} \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}}$ . Once again, it makes sense to look at the Zariski closure of the set of paths meeting the discriminant variety  $\Sigma$ , as subsets of  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}})$ . Clearly, all non-constant paths are in this closure. Therefore, it makes no sense to look for a closed set in  $\mathcal{H}$  to generalize  $\Sigma'$  of [6].

However, a generalization is possible if we consider the *real* vector space  $\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H}) = (\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{H}), \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{H}))$ . This space is endowed with Zariski topology as a real vector space. Indeed, we will prove :

**Main Theorem 1.** *Let  $n$  and  $\mathbf{d} = (\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_n)$  be fixed. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the complex vector space of all pairs  $(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})$  of polynomial systems of degree  $\mathbf{d}$ . Then there is a non-trivial Zariski closed set  $\Sigma''$  in  $\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H})$  such that, for all  $(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})$  not in  $\Sigma''$  and for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$\mu(f^{(t)}) \leq \mu(\Sigma'') \mathbf{H} \left( (\mathbf{f}^{(0)}, \mathbf{f}^{(1)}) \right)^{d(\Sigma'')}$$

where the numbers  $\mu(\Sigma'')$  and  $d(\Sigma'')$  depend only on  $d$ , and :

$$\mathbf{H} \left( (\mathbf{f}^{(0)}, \mathbf{f}^{(1)}) \right) = \max \left( \mathbf{H} \left( \mathbf{f}^{(0)} \right), \mathbf{H} \left( \mathbf{f}^{(1)} \right) \right)$$

Moreover, one can choose  $d(\Sigma'') = 2n \prod \mathbf{d}_j \sum \mathbf{d}_j$

We will first construct the set  $\Sigma''$  containing all the singular paths. Then, using a result in [6], we will bound the ‘distance’ between a path  $\{f^{(t)}\} \notin \Sigma''$  and  $\Sigma''$ , in terms of  $\mathbf{H} \left( (\mathbf{f}^{(0)}, \mathbf{f}^{(1)}) \right)$ . Finally, we will bound the condition number  $\mu(\{f^{(t)}\})$  in terms of the inverse of the distance to  $\Sigma''$ . A suitable distance may be introduced in the ‘real projectivization’ of  $\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H})$  by :

$$d_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}}((f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}), (g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}))^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( d_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}}(f^{(0)}, g^{(0)})^2 + d_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}}(f^{(1)}, g^{(1)})^2 \right)$$

On the right hand side,  $d_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}}(., .)$  is the projective 2-distance :

$$d_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}}(f, g) = \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_*} \frac{\|f - \lambda g\|_{\mathbf{k}}}{\|f\|_{\mathbf{k}}}$$

This distance can also be interpreted as the sine of the (real) angle between  $f$  and  $g$ . The norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{k}}$  denotes the  $SU(n+1)$  invariant norm in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}}$  (See [2, 8]).

This is similar to the usual projective distance :

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(f, g) = \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*} \frac{\|f - \lambda g\|_k}{\|f\|_k}$$

Clearly,  $d_{\mathbb{P}}(f, g) \leq d_{\mathbb{RP}}(f, g)$ .

## 2. BREAKING THE ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE

In order to construct the set  $\Sigma''$ , we will need somehow to ‘break’ the algebraic structure of the problem. The crucial step for this is the following, elementary fact :

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  . Let  $R$  denote the resultant of two degree  $\deg g$  polynomials. Then  $g$  has a real factor of degree  $\geq 1$  if and only if  $R(g, \bar{g}) = 0$  .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $g$  has a real factor  $r$ . Then  $r$  has a real zero  $\zeta$ , or a pair of conjugate zeros  $\zeta$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$ . In both cases,  $\zeta$  is a common zero of  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$ . Therefore the resultant  $R(g, \bar{g})$  vanishes.

Conversely, suppose that  $R(g, \bar{g}) = 0$ . Then  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$  have a common zero  $\zeta$ . Furthermore,  $g(\bar{\zeta}) = \bar{g}(\zeta) = 0$ , so  $\bar{\zeta}$  is a zero of  $g$ , and the polynomial  $(x - \zeta)(x - \bar{\zeta}) = x^2 - 2x \operatorname{Re}(\zeta) + |\zeta|^2$  divides  $g$ .  $\square$

We may now construct the polynomial  $h(t) = R(f^{(t)} \det D'f^{(t)})$  where  $D'$  denotes the derivative with respect to  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and where  $R$  denotes Macaulay’s resultant [1, 3] of  $n + 1$  homogeneous polynomials in  $n + 1$  variables.  $R$  is a polynomial of degree  $(\prod_{j \neq i} \mathbf{d}_j)(\sum \mathbf{d}_j - \mathbf{n}) + \prod_j \mathbf{d}_j$  in each set of ‘variables’  $f_j^{(t)}$ . As a polynomial in  $t$ , it has degree bounded by  $n \prod \mathbf{d}_j \sum \mathbf{d}_j$ .

Vanishing of the resultant is a necessary and sufficient condition for  $f^{(t)}$  and  $\det D'f^{(t)}$  to have a common root in  $\mathbb{P}^\times$ . This common root may be a degenerate root of  $f^{(t)}$  or a root of  $f^{(t)}$  at ‘infinity’  $x_0 = 0$ . Indeed, if  $f^{(t)}(x) = 0$  and  $D'f^{(t)}(x)$  is not surjective, we obtain :

$$0 = Df^{(t)}(x).x = x_0 \frac{\partial f^{(t)}}{\partial x_0} + D'f^{(t)}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

However, if  $Df^{(t)}(x)$  is surjective, the columns of  $D'f^{(t)}(x)$  cannot spawn  $\frac{\partial f^{(t)}}{\partial x_0}$ , hence  $x_0 = 0$ .

Clearly, if  $f_t \in \Sigma$  for some real  $t$ , then  $h$  has a real factor. We now define the mapping :

$$p : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}) & \mapsto & R(h, \bar{h}) \end{array}$$

The mapping  $p$  defines a polynomial from  $\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H})$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{\neq}$ . If some  $f^{(t)} \in \Sigma$ , then  $p(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})$  vanishes. Let  $\Sigma'' = Z(p)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The set  $\Sigma''$  is a non-trivial closed set.*

We mean that  $p$  does not vanish uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})$  be generic, in the following sense : We require  $f^{(0)}$  and  $f^{(1)}$  to be non-degenerate, and to have no root at ‘infinity’  $x_0 = 0$ . We also want  $f^{(0)}$  and  $f^{(1)}$  not colinear.

We will prove that for a ‘generic’ complex number  $\lambda$  (in a sense we will precise later), the path  $(f^{(0)}, \lambda f^{(1)})$  is not in  $\Sigma''$ . Compare with Theorem 1 in [7].

Indeed, let  $h^\lambda(t) = R(f^{(t)}, \det D'f^{(t)})$  where  $f^{(t)} = (1-t)f^{(0)} + t\lambda f^{(1)}$ .

The polynomial  $h^\lambda$  does not vanish uniformly in  $t$ , since  $f^{(0)}$  has no degenerate solution, and no solution at infinity. Let  $D$  be the (maximal) degree of  $h^\lambda$ , as a polynomial in  $t$ .

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_D$  be the roots of  $h^\lambda$ . We will see that a ‘generic’ choice of  $\lambda$  will put  $t_1, \dots, t_D$  in position  $s_1, \dots, s_D$  such that  $s_i \neq \bar{s}_j$  for all  $i, j$ , possibly  $i = j$ . Therefore,  $h^\lambda$  has no real factor in general, and  $(f^{(0)}, \lambda f^{(1)})$  is not in  $\Sigma''$ .

Indeed, for almost all  $\lambda$ , we may choose  $s_i$  such that :

$$(1 - t_i)f^{(0)} + t_i f^{(1)} = c_i \left( (1 - s_i)f^{(0)} + s_i \lambda f^{(1)} \right)$$

where  $c_i$  is some complex number. If we do that,  $h^\lambda(s_i) = h(t_i)c_i^D = 0$ . We have to solve :

$$c_i = \frac{1 - s_i}{1 - t_i} = \frac{\lambda s_i}{t_i}$$

Recall that the genericity hypothesis in  $(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})$  prevents  $t_i = 0$  or  $t_i = 1$ . We obtain :

$$s_i \lambda - s_i \lambda t_i = t_i - s_i t_i$$

Solutions are :

$$s_i = \frac{t_i}{\lambda - \lambda t_i + t_i}$$

Those  $s_i$  are finite for all  $\lambda \neq \frac{-t_i}{1-t_i}$ , all  $i$ . We still need to prove that for ‘generic’  $\lambda$ , there are no  $i, j$  (possibly  $i = j$ ) such that  $s_i = \bar{s}_j$ , or again :  $\text{Im}(s_i^{-1} + s_j^{-1}) = 0$ . (Recall that  $s_i \neq 0$ ).

The situation to avoid is :

$$\text{Im} \left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda t_i + t_i}{t_i} + \frac{\lambda - \lambda t_j + t_j}{t_j} \right) = 0$$

This is :

$$\operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{t_j - 2t_i t_j + t_i}{t_i t_j} \lambda + 2 \right) = 0$$

Therefore, it suffices that  $\lambda$  avoids a finite set of points and real lines in complex plane.  $\square$

### 3. END OF THE PROOF

We are now under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 in [6] :

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p$  be a multi-homogeneous polynomial of degree  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  in sets of variables  $f_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{m_1}, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{C}^{m_n}$ , with integer coefficients. Assume also that groups of variables  $f_i$  range over Gaussian integers. Then either  $p(f) = 0$ , or :*

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(f, Z(p)) \geq \frac{1}{\frac{\pi}{2} \max \sqrt{m_i} \sum r_i \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{p})} \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{f})} \right)^{\sum r_i}$$

where  $Z(p)$  is the zero-set of  $p$  and  $d$  is the complex projective 2-distance.

Here, the number  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{p})$  depends only on  $p$ . We set  $d(\Sigma'') = \sum r_i \leq 2n(\prod \mathbf{d}_j)(\sum \mathbf{d}_j - \mathbf{n})$ . We define  $\mu(\Sigma'')$  as  $\frac{\pi}{2} \max \sqrt{m_i} \sum r_i \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{p})$ . Then, using  $d_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}} \leq d_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}}$ , we obtain a weaker version of the Main Theorem :

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $n$  and  $\mathbf{d} = (\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_n)$  be fixed. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the space of all pairs  $(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})$  of polynomial systems of degree  $\mathbf{d}$ . Then there is a non-trivial Zariski closed set  $\Sigma''$  in  $\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H})$  such that, for all  $(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})$  not in  $\Sigma''$  and for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{d_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}}((f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}), \Sigma'')} \leq \mu(\Sigma'') \mathbf{H}((\mathbf{f}^{(0)}, \mathbf{f}^{(1)}))^{d(\Sigma'')}$$

where the numbers  $\mu(\Sigma'')$  and  $d(\Sigma'')$  depend only on  $d$ , and :

$$\mathbf{H}((\mathbf{f}^{(0)}, \mathbf{f}^{(1)})) = \max(\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{f}^{(0)}), \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{f}^{(1)}))$$

In order to conclude the proof of the Main Theorem, we will need the

**Lemma 3.**

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \mu(f^{(t)}) \leq \frac{1}{d_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}}((f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}), \Sigma'')}$$

Since  $\mu$  is real-scaling invariant, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\|f^{(t)}\|_{\mathbf{k}} = 1$  always.

It was proven in [8] that for a given system  $f$ ,

$$\mu(f) \leq \frac{1}{d_{\mathbb{P}}(f, \Sigma)}$$

The condition number of a homotopy path was defined by :

$$\mu(\{f^{(t)}\}) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mu(f^{(t)})$$

Hence :

$$\mu(\{f^{(t)}\}) \leq \max_{\substack{t \in [0,1] \\ g \in \Sigma}} \frac{1}{d_{\mathbb{P}}(f^{(t)}, g)} = \frac{1}{\min_{\substack{t \in [0,1] \\ g \in \mathbb{R}(\Sigma)}} d_{\mathbb{P}}(f^{(t)}, g)}$$

Suppose that this minimum was attained at some  $t \in [0, 1]$  and some  $g \in \Sigma$  :

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(f^{(t)}, g) = \frac{\min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*} \|f^{(t)} - \lambda g\|_{\mathbf{k}}}{\|f^{(t)}\|_{\mathbf{k}}}$$

Since  $\lambda g$  also belongs to  $\Sigma$ , we may scale  $g$  by  $\lambda$  so that :

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(f^{(t)}, g) = \frac{\|f^{(t)} - g\|_{\mathbf{k}}}{\|f^{(t)}\|_{\mathbf{k}}} = d_{\mathbb{RP}}(f^{(t)}, g)$$

This shows that :

$$\mu(\{f^{(t)}\}) \leq \frac{1}{d_{\mathbb{RP}}(f^{(t)}, g)}$$

We may now define a new homotopy path  $g^{(s)}$  that is, in some sense, the translation of  $f^{(t)}$  :

$$g^{(s)} = f^{(s)} + (g - f^{(t)})$$

With that definition :

$$d_{\mathbb{RP}}(\{(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})\}, \{(g^{(0)}, g^{(1)})\})^2 = \frac{1}{2} (d_{\mathbb{RP}}(f^{(0)}, g^{(0)})^2 + d_{\mathbb{RP}}(f^{(1)}, g^{(1)})^2)$$

But  $d_{\mathbb{RP}}(f^{(0)}, g^{(0)}) \leq \frac{\|g - f^{(t)}\|_{\mathbf{k}}}{\|f^{(0)}\|_{\mathbf{k}}} = \|g - f^{(t)}\|_{\mathbf{k}}$ , and similarly for  $d_{\mathbb{RP}}(f^{(1)}, g^{(1)})$ .

Therefore :

$$d_{\mathbb{RP}}(\{(f^{(0)}, f^{(1)})\}, \{(g^{(0)}, g^{(1)})\})^2 \leq \|g - f^{(t)}\|_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = d_{\mathbb{RP}}(f^{(t)}, g)^2$$

Therefore,

$$\mu(\{f^{(t)}\}) \leq \frac{1}{d_{\mathbb{RP}}(f^{(t)}, g)} \leq \frac{1}{d_{\mathbb{RP}}((f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}), (g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}))}$$

Moreover, since  $(g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}) \in \Sigma''$ ,

$$d_{\mathbb{RP}}((f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}), (g^{(0)}, g^{(1)})) \geq d_{\mathbb{RP}}((f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}), \Sigma'')$$

Thus, we obtained :

$$\mu(\{f^{(t)}\}) \leq \frac{1}{d_{\mathbb{R}P}((f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}), \Sigma'')}$$

This proves the Lemma, and hence the Main Theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] John Canny, *The complexity of robot motion planning*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1988.
- [2] Eric Kostlan, *Random polynomials and the statistical fundamental theorem of algebra*, Preprint, Univ. of Hawaii, 1987.
- [3] F. S. Macaulay, Some formulæ in elimination, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, Vol XXXV, 1903.
- [4] Gregorio Malajovich *On the complexity of path-following Newton algorithms for solving systems of polynomial equations with integer coefficients*. PhD Thesis, Berkeley, 1993. United Microfilms Inc, 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI, 48106-1346 USA, Phone 800-521-0600.
- [5] Gregorio Malajovich On generalized Newton algorithms : quadratic convergence, path-following and error analysis. *Theoretical Computer Science* **133** 65-84, 1994.
- [6] Gregorio Malajovich *Worst possible condition number of polynomial systems*. Preprint, Rio de Janeiro, 1995.
- [7] Alexander Morgan and Andrew Sommese, Computing all solutions to polynomial systems using homotopy continuation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **24**, 115-138, 1987.
- [8] Michael Shub and Steve Smale, On the Complexity of Bezout's Theorem I - Geometric aspects. *Journal of the AMS*, **6**, 2, Apr 1993.
- [9] Michael Shub and Steve Smale, On the complexity of Bezout's Theorem II - Volumes and Probabilities. in: F. Eyssette and A. Galligo, eds : *Computational Algebraic geometry*. Progress in Mathematics **109**, Birkhauser, 267-285, 1993.
- [10] Michael Shub and Steve Smale, Complexity of Bezout's Theorem III ; Condition number and packing. *Journal of Complexity* **9**, 4-14, 1993.
- [11] Michael Shub and Steve Smale, Complexity of Bezout's Theorem IV ; Probability of success ; Extensions Preprint, Berkeley, 1993.
- [12] Michael Shub and Steve Smale, Complexity of Bezout's Theorem V : Polynomial time ; Preprint, Barcelona, 1993.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA APLICADA DA UFRJ, CAIXA POSTAL 68530,  
CEP 21945, RIO, RJ, BRASIL

*E-mail address:* gregorio@lyric.labma.ufrj.br